1	Philip J. Berg, Esquire (PA I.D. 9867)	
2	E-mail: philjberg@gmail.com	
3	LAW OFFICES OF PHILIP J. BERG 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12	
4	Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531	
5	Telephone: (610) 825-3134 Fax: (610) 834-7659 Attorney in pro se and for Plaintiffs	
6		
7	Lisa Ostella, and Go Excel Global c/o Philip J. Berg, Esquire	
8	E-mail: philjberg@gmail.com	
9	555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12	
10	Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531 Telephone: (610) 825-3134 Fax: (610) 834-7659	
11	Plaintiffs in pro se pending Mr. Berg's Pro Hac Vice	
12	Lisa Liberi	
13	c/o Philip J. Berg, Esquire	
14	E-mail: <a href="mailto:philiberg@gmail.com">philiberg@gmail.com</a> 555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12	
15	Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531	
16	Telephone: (610) 825-3134 Fax: (610) 834-7659	
17	Plaintiff in pro se pending Mr. Berg's Pro Hac Vice	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN DIVISION	
19		
20		
21	LISA LIBERI, et al,	CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
22	Plaintiffs,	8:11-cv-00485-AG (AJW)
23		DECLARATION OF PHILIP J.
24	VS.	BERG, ESQUIRE
25	ORLY TAITZ, et al,	Date of Hearing: May 09, 2011
26		Date of Hearing: May 09, 2011 Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 10D
27	Defendants.	
28		
į.	I .	

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **DECLARATION OF PHILIP J. BERG, ESQUIRE**

I, Philip J. Berg, Esquire, am over the age of 18 and am a party to the within 3 4 action. I have personal knowledge of the facts herein, and if called to do, I could 5 and would competently testify. I am making this Declaration under the penalty of perjury of the Laws of the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746.

- I am an Attorney in good standing, licensed to practice law in the 1. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. I am licensed to practice in the U.S. District Courts, Middle and Eastern District of Pennsylvania; Third Certificate Court of Appeals, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court; and the U.S. Supreme Court.
- 2. I am familiar with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the pleading requirements outlined in Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949, 173 L. Ed. 2d 868 (2009), and Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 167 L. Ed. 2d 929 (2007); and this Court's Local Rules.
- I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiffs in the matter of Liberi, et 3. al v. Taitz, et al, U.S.D.C., Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case No. 09-cv-01898 ECR, which was recently transferred to this Court.
- Although, I have not filed my application for *Pro Hac Vice* admission 4. in this case, Orly Taitz on behalf of herself and her Corporation, Defend our Freedoms Foundations, Inc. filed a Motion to have me terminated as counsel

8

11

10

13

14

12

15

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

24

26

25

2728

without any type of foundation. This is another tactic by Defendant Taitz to prejudice the Plaintiffs, refuse the Plaintiffs their choice of Counsel; and to increase the cost to Plaintiffs so they are unable to afford the litigation, and therefore, obtain the redress they are entitled.

- 5. This lawsuit recently transferred to this Court was filed by me on behalf of the Plaintiffs on May 4, 2009. I have been litigating this case on behalf of the Plaintiffs since that time.
- 6. The basis of the within lawsuit is due to Defendants, Orly Taitz through her company, Defendant Defend our Freedoms Foundations, Inc. and Defendants, Neil Sankey, Sankey Investigations, Inc.; and the Sankey Firm, Inc.'s for amongst other things, Invasion of Privacy; Intrusion of Plaintiffs Solitude and Seclusion; Publication of Private Facts; Placing One in a False Light before the Public; Appropriation of Plaintiffs Name and Alike; Cyber-stalking; Stalking; Cyber-bullying; Harassment; Filing of False Criminal Reports; Defamation; Slander; Libel; and violation of Cal. Civ. P. 1798, et seq. as well as many other violations for the illegal background checks, including but **not** limited to primary identification documents, insurance, medical, sealed Court case information, illegal access to Plaintiffs credit reports, cyber-stalking, stalking Plaintiffs Ostella and Liberi, contacting and harassing individuals Plaintiffs have known and for the

illegal distribution of Liberi's full Social Security number, date of birth, mother's maiden name, place of birth, spouses name, spouses Social Security number, spouses date of birth and other extremely private information, as well as private data pertaining to Ostella. Furthermore, Taitz through DOFF and the Sankey Defendants destroyed and caused damage to Ostella and Berg's businesses, Law Offices of Philip J. Berg and Go Excel Global.

- 7. While this case was before Federal Judge Robreno in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Defendant Orly Taitz on behalf of herself and Defend our Freedoms Foundations, Inc. filed numerous Motions to Dismiss, which was completely improper. The important factor is that Defendant Orly Taitz claimed the Complaint failed to comply with the pleading standards of *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949, 173 L. Ed. 2d 868 (2009), and *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 167 L. Ed. 2d 929 (2007). Judge Robreno in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania found that Plaintiffs Complaint was in fact compliant with the pleading requirements of *Twombly* and *Iqbal* and Denied Defendant Taitz's Motions to Dismiss.
- 8. Plaintiffs will be seeking Leave to file a First Amended Complaint to bring their Complaint in compliance with the California laws, as the

Complaint is currently compliant with the Pennsylvania laws, where it was originally filed.

- 9. I am familiar with the events giving rise to the within action; and I am readily available and willing to continue representing the Plaintiffs in this California Court. For new counsel to step in at this late juncture would be disastrous and prejudicial to the Plaintiffs. Due to Defendant Taitz's continued filing and "papering" the Court, she has convoluted this case and has been successful in confusing what the case actually represents. It will take months to bring a new attorney up-to-date regarding the within case. Furthermore, it forces Counsel to respond each and every time, which has been and continue being extremely expensive.
- 10. In addition, Defendant Orly Taitz has been very dishonest in her filings and statements with the Court. She has misstated what is on file with the Court; misstated the facts giving rise to the lawsuit; made many false allegations against the Plaintiffs for actions she is actually doing; she has filed forged documents from her witness, Geoff Staples; she continues misrepresenting what the Court's rulings and Orders state; she misrepresents what documents filed by the Plaintiffs state and pertain to; and many other dishonest tactics.

- 11. Each time Plaintiffs are forced to respond to Defendant Taitz barrage of filings, Plaintiffs are forced to attach copies of all of Defendant Taitz's publications on her website, postings on the Internet, emails, and proof of forged documents and inaccurate and untruthful statements of Defendant Taitz and her "supposed" witnesses. This in itself creates an avalanche of paper.
- 12. I am informed, believe and thereon allege, Defendant Taitz continues these tactics as she does <u>not</u> think anyone will read the documents due to the size and content. To date, this has proven true for her, otherwise, if the documents and heaps of paper were read; they Court would "catch" Defendant Taitz in her illegal tactics.
- 13. Defendant Taitz is well aware that all the Plaintiffs in this action all reside out-of-state. It is far easier to have counsel familiar with the case argue at any hearing which may arise. Plaintiffs Lisa Liberi and Lisa Ostella are **not** attorneys.
- 14. Disallowing me to continue my representation of my clients, would be extremely prejudicial; cause further unnecessary delays, waste judicial resources and severely increase the Plaintiffs cost of litigation.

## I declare under the penalty of perjury of the Laws of the United States and California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2011 in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, County of Montgomery. /s/ Philip J. Berg Philip J. Berg, Esquire, Declarant

Filed 04/10/11 Page 7 of 7 Page ID

Case 8:11-cv-00485-AG -AJW Document 174-1